

axiomTM



The 30 Year Horizon

<i>Manuel Bronstein</i>	<i>William Burge</i>	<i>Timothy Daly</i>
<i>James Davenport</i>	<i>Michael Dewar</i>	<i>Martin Dunstan</i>
<i>Albrecht Fortenbacher</i>	<i>Patrizia Gianni</i>	<i>Johannes Grabmeier</i>
<i>Jocelyn Guidry</i>	<i>Richard Jenks</i>	<i>Larry Lambe</i>
<i>Michael Monagan</i>	<i>Scott Morrison</i>	<i>William Sit</i>
<i>Jonathan Steinbach</i>	<i>Robert Sutor</i>	<i>Barry Trager</i>
<i>Stephen Watt</i>	<i>Jim Wen</i>	<i>Clifton Williamson</i>

Volume 13: Proving Axiom Correct

Portions Copyright (c) 2005 Timothy Daly

The Blue Bayou image Copyright (c) 2004 Jocelyn Guidry

Portions Copyright (c) 2004 Martin Dunstan

Portions Copyright (c) 2007 Alfredo Portes

Portions Copyright (c) 2007 Arthur Ralfs

Portions Copyright (c) 2005 Timothy Daly

Portions Copyright (c) 1991-2002,
The Numerical ALgorithms Group Ltd.
All rights reserved.

This book and the Axiom software is licensed as follows:

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

- Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
- Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.
- Neither the name of The Numerical ALgorithms Group Ltd. nor the names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products derived from this software without specific prior written permission.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

Inclusion of names in the list of credits is based on historical information and is as accurate as possible. Inclusion of names does not in any way imply an endorsement but represents historical influence on Axiom development.

Michael Albaugh	Cyril Alberga	Roy Adler
Christian Aistleitner	Richard Anderson	George Andrews
S.J. Atkins	Henry Baker	Martin Baker
Stephen Balzac	Yurij Baransky	David R. Barton
Gerald Baumgartner	Gilbert Baumslag	Michael Becker
Nelson H. F. Beebe	Jay Belanger	David Bindel
Fred Blair	Vladimir Bondarenko	Mark Botch
Raoul Bourquin	Alexandre Bouyer	Karen Braman
Peter A. Broadbery	Martin Brock	Manuel Bronstein
Stephen Buchwald	Florian Bundschuh	Luanne Burns
William Burge	Ralph Byers	Quentin Carpent
Robert Caviness	Bruce Char	Ondrej Certik
Tzu-Yi Chen	Cheekai Chin	David V. Chudnovsky
Gregory V. Chudnovsky	Mark Clements	James Cloos
Jia Zhao Cong	Josh Cohen	Christophe Conil
Don Coppersmith	George Corliss	Robert Corless
Gary Cornell	Meino Cramer	Jeremy Du Croz
David Cyganski	Nathaniel Daly	Timothy Daly Sr.
Timothy Daly Jr.	James H. Davenport	David Day
James Demmel	Didier Deshommies	Michael Dewar
Jack Dongarra	Jean Della Dora	Gabriel Dos Reis
Claire DiCrescendo	Sam Dooley	Lionel Ducos
Iain Duff	Lee Duhem	Martin Dunstan
Brian Dupee	Dominique Duval	Robert Edwards
Heow Eide-Goodman	Lars Erickson	Richard Fateman
Bertfried Fauser	Stuart Feldman	John Fletcher
Brian Ford	Albrecht Fortenbacher	George Frances
Constantine Frangos	Timothy Freeman	Korrinn Fu
Marc Gaetano	Rudiger Gebauer	Van de Geijn
Kathy Gerber	Patricia Gianni	Samantha Goldrich
Holger Gollan	Teresa Gomez-Diaz	Laureano Gonzalez-Vega
Stephen Gortler	Johannes Grabmeier	Matt Grayson
Klaus Ebbe Grue	James Griesmer	Vladimir Grinberg
Oswald Gschnitzer	Ming Gu	Jocelyn Guidry
Gaetan Hache	Steve Hague	Satoshi Hamaguchi
Sven Hammarling	Mike Hansen	Richard Hanson
Richard Harke	Bill Hart	Vilya Harvey
Martin Hassner	Arthur S. Hathaway	Dan Hatton
Waldek Hebisch	Karl Hegbloom	Ralf Hemmecke

Henderson	Antoine Hersen	Roger House
Gernot Hueber	Pietro Iglio	Alejandro Jakubi
Richard Jenks	William Kahan	Kai Kaminski
Grant Keady	Wilfrid Kendall	Tony Kennedy
Ted Kosan	Paul Kosinski	Klaus Kusche
Bernhard Kutzler	Tim Lahey	Larry Lambe
Kaj Laurson	George L. Legendre	Franz Lehner
Frederic Lehouby	Michel Levaud	Howard Levy
Ren-Cang Li	Rudiger Loos	Michael Lucks
Richard Luczak	Camm Maguire	Francois Maltey
Alasdair McAndrew	Bob McElrath	Michael McGettrick
Edi Meier	Ian Meikle	David Mentre
Victor S. Miller	Gerard Milmeister	Mohammed Mobarak
H. Michael Moeller	Michael Monagan	Marc Moreno-Maza
Scott Morrison	Joel Moses	Mark Murray
William Naylor	Patrice Naudin	C. Andrew Neff
John Nelder	Godfrey Nolan	Arthur Norman
Jinzhong Niu	Michael O'Connor	Summat Oemrawsingh
Kostas Oikonomou	Humberto Ortiz-Zuazaga	Julian A. Padget
Bill Page	David Parnas	Susan Pelzel
Michel Petitot	Didier Pinchon	Ayal Pinkus
Frederick H. Pitts	Jose Alfredo Portes	Gregorio Quintana-Orti
Claude Quitte	Arthur C. Ralfs	Norman Ramsey
Anatoly Raportirenko	Albert D. Rich	Michael Richardson
Guilherme Reis	Huan Ren	Renaud Rioboo
Jean Rivlin	Nicolas Robidoux	Simon Robinson
Raymond Rogers	Michael Rothstein	Martin Rubey
Philip Santas	Alfred Scheerhorn	William Schelter
Gerhard Schneider	Martin Schoenert	Marshall Schor
Frithjof Schulze	Fritz Schwarz	Steven Segletes
V. Sima	Nick Simicich	William Sit
Elena Smirnova	Jonathan Steinbach	Fabio Stumbo
Christine Sundaresan	Robert Sutor	Moss E. Sweedler
Eugene Surowitz	Max Tegmark	T. Doug Telford
James Thatcher	Balbir Thomas	Mike Thomas
Dylan Thurston	Steve Toleque	Barry Trager
Themos T. Tsikas	Gregory Vanuxem	Bernhard Wall
Stephen Watt	Jaap Weel	Juergen Weiss
M. Weller	Mark Wegman	James Wen
Thorsten Werther	Michael Wester	R. Clint Whaley
John M. Wiley	Berhard Will	Clifton J. Williamson
Stephen Wilson	Shmuel Winograd	Robert Wisbauer
Sandra Wityak	Waldemar Wiwianka	Knut Wolf
Liu Xiaojun	Clifford Yapp	David Yun
Vadim Zhytnikov	Richard Zippel	Evelyn Zoernack
Bruno Zuercher	Dan Zwillinger	

Contents

1	Here is a problem	3
1.1	Approaches	4
2	Theory	7
3	Software Details	9
3.1	Installed Software	9
3.2	Coq Spad proofs	11
3.3	ACL2 Lisp proofs	11
3.4	Lisp to Hardware	11

New Foreword

On October 1, 2001 Axiom was withdrawn from the market and ended life as a commercial product. On September 3, 2002 Axiom was released under the Modified BSD license, including this document. On August 27, 2003 Axiom was released as free and open source software available for download from the Free Software Foundation's website, Savannah.

Work on Axiom has had the generous support of the Center for Algorithms and Interactive Scientific Computation (CAISS) at City College of New York. Special thanks go to Dr. Gilbert Baumslag for his support of the long term goal.

The online version of this documentation is roughly 1000 pages. In order to make printed versions we've broken it up into three volumes. The first volume is tutorial in nature. The second volume is for programmers. The third volume is reference material. We've also added a fourth volume for developers. All of these changes represent an experiment in print-on-demand delivery of documentation. Time will tell whether the experiment succeeded.

Axiom has been in existence for over thirty years. It is estimated to contain about three hundred man-years of research and has, as of September 3, 2003, 143 people listed in the credits. All of these people have contributed directly or indirectly to making Axiom available. Axiom is being passed to the next generation. I'm looking forward to future milestones.

With that in mind I've introduced the theme of the "30 year horizon". We must invent the tools that support the Computational Mathematician working 30 years from now. How will research be done when every bit of mathematical knowledge is online and instantly available? What happens when we scale Axiom by a factor of 100, giving us 1.1 million domains? How can we integrate theory with code? How will we integrate theorems and proofs of the mathematics with space-time complexity proofs and running code? What visualization tools are needed? How do we support the conceptual structures and semantics of mathematics in effective ways? How do we support results from the sciences? How do we teach the next generation to be effective Computational Mathematicians?

The "30 year horizon" is much nearer than it appears.

Tim Daly
CAISS, City College of New York
November 10, 2003 ((iHy))

Our basic premise is that the ability to construct and modify programs will not improve without a new and comprehensive look at the entire programming process. Past theoretical research, say, in the logic of programs, has tended to focus on methods for reasoning about individual programs; little has been done, it seems to us, to develop a sound understanding of the process of programming – the process by which programs evolve in concept and in practice. At present, we lack the means to describe the techniques of program construction and improvement in ways that properly link verification, documentation and adaptability.

– Scherlis and Scott (1983) in [Mason 86]

Chapter 1

Here is a problem

The goal is to prove that Axiom's implementation of the Euclidean GCD algorithm is correct. From category EuclideanDomain (EUCDOM) we find the implementation of the Euclidean GCD algorithm:

```
gcd(x,y) ==                                --Euclidean Algorithm
  x:=unitCanonical x
  y:=unitCanonical y
  while not zero? y repeat
    (x,y):= (y,x rem y)
    y:=unitCanonical y  -- this doesn't affect the
                        -- correctness of Euclid's algorithm,
                        -- but
                        -- a) may improve performance
                        -- b) ensures gcd(x,y)=gcd(y,x)
                        -- if canonicalUnitNormal

  x
```

The unitCanonical function comes from the category IntegralDomain (INTDOM) where we find:

```
unitNormal: % -> Record(unit:%,canonical:%,associate:%)
++ unitNormal(x) tries to choose a canonical element
++ from the associate class of x.
++ The attribute canonicalUnitNormal, if asserted, means that
++ the "canonical" element is the same across all associates of x
++ if \spad{unitNormal(x) = [u,c,a]} then
++ \spad{u*c = x}, \spad{a*u = 1}.
unitCanonical: % -> %
++ \spad{unitCanonical(x)} returns \spad{unitNormal(x).canonical}.
```

implemented as

```

UCA ==> Record(unit:%,canonical:%,associate:%)
if not (% has Field) then
  unitNormal(x) == [1$,x,1$]$UCA -- the non-canonical definition
unitCanonical(x) == unitNormal(x).canonical -- always true
recip(x) == if zero? x then "failed" else _exquo(1$,x)
unit?(x) == (recip x case "failed" => false; true)
if % has canonicalUnitNormal then
  associates?(x,y) ==
    (unitNormal x).canonical = (unitNormal y).canonical
else
  associates?(x,y) ==
    zero? x => zero? y
    zero? y => false
    x exquo y case "failed" => false
    y exquo x case "failed" => false
    true

```

1.1 Approaches

There are several systems that could be applied to approach the proof.

The plan is to initially look at Coq and ACL2. Coq seems to be applicable at the Spad level. ACL2 seems to be applicable at the Lisp level. Both levels are necessary for a proper proof.

Coq is very close to Spad in spirit so we can use it for the high-level proofs.

ACL2 is a Lisp-level proof technology which can be used to prove the Spad-to-Lisp level.

There is an LLVM to ACL2 translator which can be used to move from the GCL Lisp level to the hardware since GCL compiles to C.

Quoting from Hardin [\[Hardin 14\]](#)

LLVM is a register-based intermediate in Static Single Assignment (SSA) form. As such, LLVM supports any number of registers, each of which is only assigned once, statically (dynamically, of course, a given register can be assigned any number of times). Appel has observed that “SSA form is a kind of functional programming”; this observation, in turn, inspired us to build a translator from LLVM to the applicative subset of Common Lisp accepted by the ACL2 theorem prover. Our translator produces an executable ACL2 specification that is able to efficiently support validation via testing, as the generated ACL2 code features tail recursion, as well as in-place updates via ACL2’s single-threaded object (stobj) mechanism. In order to ease the process of proving properties about these translated functions, we have also developed a technique for reasoning about tail-recursive ACL2 functions that execute in-place, utilizing a formally proven “bridge” to primitive-recursive versions of those functions operating on lists.




Figure 1: LLVM-to-ACL2 translation toolchain.

Hardin [Hardin 13] describes the toolchain thus:

Our translation toolchain architecture is shown in Figure 1. The left side of the figure depicts a typical compiler frontend producing LLVM intermediate code. LLVM output can be produced either as a binary “bitcode” (.bc) file, or as text (.ll file). We chose to parse the text form, producing an abstract syntax tree (AST) representation of the LLVM program. Our translator then converts the AST to ACL2 source. The ACL2 source file can then be admitted into an ACL2 session, along with conjectures that one wishes to prove about the code, which ACL2 processes mostly automatically. In addition to proving theorems about the translated LLVM code, ACL2 can also be used to execute test vectors at reasonable speed.

Note that you can see the intermediate form from clang with

```
clang -O4 -S -emit-llvm foo.c
```

Both Coq and the Hardin translator use OCAML [OCAML 14] so we will have to learn that language.

Chapter 2

Theory

The proof of the Euclidean algorithm has been known since Euclid. We need to study an existing proof and use it to guide our use of Coq along the same lines, if possible. Some of the “obvious” natural language statements may require Coq lemmas.

From WikiProof [[Wiki 14a](#)] we quote:

Let

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and $a \neq 0 \text{ or } b \neq 0$.

The steps of the algorithm are:

1. Start with (a, b) such that $|a| \geq |b|$. If $b = 0$ then the task is complete and the GCD is a .
2. if $b \neq 0$ then you take the remainder r of a/b .
3. set $a \leftarrow b$, $b \leftarrow r$ (and thus $|a| \geq |b|$ again).
4. repeat these steps until $b = 0$

Thus the GCD of a and b is the value of the variable a at the end of the algorithm.

The proof is:

Suppose

$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and $a \text{ or } b \neq 0$.

From the **division theorem**, $a = qb + r$ where $0 \leq r < |b|$

From **GCD with Remainder**, the GCD of a and b is also the GCD of b and r .

Therefore we may search instead for the $\text{gcd}(b, r)$.

Since $|r| \geq |b|$ and

$$b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

, we will reach $r = 0$ after finitely many steps.

At this point, $\gcd(r, 0) = r$ from **GCD with Zero**.

We quote the **Division Theorem** proof [[Wiki 14b](#)]:

For every pair of integers a, b where $b \neq 0$, there exist unique integers q, r such that $a = qb + r$ and $0 \leq r < |b|$.

Chapter 3

Software Details

3.1 Installed Software

Install CLANG, LLVM

`http://llvm.org/releases/download.html`

Install OCAML

```
sudo apt-get install ocaml
```

An OCAML version of gcd would be written

```
let rec gcd a b = if b = 0 then a else gcd b (a mod b)
val gcd : int -> int -> int = <fun>
```


Bibliography

3.2 Coq Spad proofs

[Bertot 04] Bertot, Yves; Castéran, Pierre
“Interactive Theorem Proving and Program Development”
Springer ISBN 3-540-20854-2

[OCAML 14] .
The OCAML website
ocaml.org

3.3 ACL2 Lisp proofs

[Kaufmann 14] Kaufmann, Matt; Moore, J Strother
“ACL2 Version 6.4”
www.cs.utexas.edu/users/moore/acl2

3.4 Lisp to Hardware

[Daly 10] Daly, Timothy
“Intel Instruction Semantics Generator”
daly.axiom-developer.org/TimothyDaly_files/publications/sei/intel/intel.pdf

[Hardin 13] Hardin, David S.; McClurg, Jedidiah R.; Davis, Jennifer A.
“Creating Formally Verified Components for Layered Assurance with an LLVM to ACL2 Translator”
www.jrmccclurg.com/papers/law_2013_paper.pdf

[Hardin 14] Hardin, David S.; Davis, Jennifer A.; Greve, David A.; McClurg, Jedidiah R.
“Development of a Translator from LLVM to ACL2”
arxiv.org/pdf/1406.1566

- [Mason 86] Mason, Ian A.
“The Semantics of Destructive Lisp”
Center for the Study of Language and Information ISBN 0-937073-06-7
- [Wiki 14a] ProofWiki
“Euclidean Algorithm”
proofwiki.org/wiki/Euclidean_Algorithm
- [Wiki 14b] ProofWiki
“Division Theorem”
proofwiki.org/wiki/Division_Theorem